FOOD STAMP PROGRAM REQUEST FOR REGULATION INTERPRETATION

R	EQUEST FOR REGULATION INTERPRETATION				
oth Un	STRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separa 24 for your records. For counties asking for policy interpretations, ser organizations (e.g., Quality Control, Administrative Law Judges), it or Employment and Special Projects Unit representative via e-mail.	subr . sub	nit the augetion directly to a ED	AT representative via a mail Ear	
1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5.	DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:	
	☐ Policy/Regulation Interpretation ☐ QC		Initial request - August 2012	Decision due 8-13-2012	
	✓ Fair Hearing	6.	COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:		
	Immediate Need/Emergency Services		State Hearings Division		
	Other:	7.	SUBJECT:		
		Overpayments/Overissuances			
2.	REQUESTOR NAME:	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).			
3.	PHONE NO.:				
4.	REGULATION CITE(S):				
	7 CFR 273.3(a); MPP 42-400; 63-401.1; MPP 63-300(e)(5);				
9.	QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):				
10.	REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:				
	Sent under separate cover				
11.	FRAT RESPONSE TO COUNTY QUESTION:				
12.	STATE POLICY RESPONSE (FSPIU USE ONLY):			0	
	Information contained in the Placer County Department of Human Services Statement of Position clearly established that the claimant was living in Sacramento County at the time of her application (11/24/09) and interview (12/15/09) for CalFresh, CalWORKs, and Medi-Cal in Placer County. MPP 63.401.1 states "A household must be living in the county in which it files an application for participation." Though not a resident of the County at the time of application, the claimant is considered categorically eligible(CE) for all CalFresh benefits issued subsequent to December, 2009,per 7CFR 273.2(j)(2), due to the county issuing a CalWorks grant. According to page #24513 of the Federal Register (FR) Vol. 54, No. 108 dated June 7, 1989: 'For claims purposes, [CE] cannot be rescinded retroactively [the household] would be considered to have been properly eligible for food stamps for claims purposes even if its PA or SSI eligibility was subsequently determined improper.'				
	The FR goes on to state 'Although [CE] cannot be rescinded retroac	tive	y, a claim to correct an imprope	er benefit level can be	
	FOR FRAT USE				

DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY:

DATE FORWARDED TO STATE:

FS 24 (8/08)

DATE RECEIVED:

12. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (FSPIU USE ONLY): (continued)

The FR goes on to state 'Although [CE] cannot be rescinded retroactively, a claim to correct an improper benefit level can be established against a [CE] household whose PA or SSI eligibility is subsequently determined improper if the reason... for ineligibility was additional household income or changes in household size and/or deduction which directly affect the calculation of the food stamp benefit amount.' Subsequently, the county must establish a case under this premise to recoup the over-issuance for the time period 11/09-12/11.